arXiv:1612.05382v1 [math.NT] 16 Dec 2016

UNCONDITIONAL CONSTRUCTION OF $K3$ SURFACES OVER FINITE FIELDS WITH GIVEN L-FUNCTION IN LARGE CHARACTERISTIC

KAZUHIRO ITO

Abstract. We give an unconditional construction of $K3$ surfaces over finite fields with given L-function, up to finite extensions of the base fields, under some mild restrictions on the characteristic. Previously, such results were obtained by Taelman assuming semistable reduction. The main contribution of this paper is to make Taelman's proof unconditional. We use some results of Nikulin and Bayer-Fluckiger to construct an appropriate complex projective $K3$ surface with CM which admits an elliptic fibration with a section, or an ample line bundle of low degree. Then using Saito's construction of strictly semistable models and applying a slight refinement of Matsumoto's good reduction criterion for $K3$ surfaces, we obtain a desired $K3$ surface over a finite field.

1. Introduction

In this paper, we shall give an unconditional construction of $K3$ surfaces over finite fields with given L-function, up to finite extensions of the base fields. Previously, Taelman conditionally proved the existence of such $K3$ surfaces assuming semistable reduction [23, Theorem 3]. The main contribution of this paper is to make Taelman's proof unconditional.

First, we recall Taelman's results. Fix a prime number p and an integer m with $1 \leq m \leq 10$. Let q be a power of p. We fix an embedding $\overline{\mathbb{Q}} \hookrightarrow \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p$, and let $\nu_q \colon \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p \to \mathbb{Q} \cup \{\infty\}$ be the p-adic valuation normalized by $\nu_q(q) = 1$. Consider a polynomial

$$L(T) \in 1 + T\mathbb{Q}[T]$$

of degree $2m$ satisfying the following conditions.

Condition 1.1.
- *All roots of $L(T)$ have complex absolute value one,*
- *no root of $L(T)$ is a root of unity,*
- *$L(T) \in \mathbb{Z}_\ell[T]$ for all prime numbers $\ell \neq p$,*
- *there exists a positive integer $h \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $1 \leq h \leq m$ such that, if we denote the roots of $L(T)$ by $\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_{2m} \in \overline{\mathbb{Q}}$, after permuting them, they satisfy*

$$\begin{cases} \nu_q(\alpha_i) = -1/h & (1 \leq i \leq h) \\ \nu_q(\alpha_i) = 0 & (h+1 \leq i \leq 2m-h) \\ \nu_q(\alpha_i) = 1/h & (2m-h+1 \leq i \leq 2m) \end{cases}$$

for a power q of p,

Date: December 19, 2016.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 14J28 ; Secondary 11G25, 14G10, 14G15, 14K22.

Key words and phrases. $K3$ surface, Hasse-Weil zeta function, Complex multiplication, Good reduction.

- $L(T) = Q(T)^e$ *for some* $e \geq 1$ *and some irreducible polynomial* $Q(T) \in \mathbb{Q}[T]$, *and*
- *moreover, if we write* $Q(T)$ *in the following form*

$$Q(T) = \prod_j (1 - \beta_j T) \qquad (\beta_j \in \overline{\mathbb{Q}}),$$

then the product

$$Q_{<0}(T) = \prod_{\nu_q(\beta_j)<0} (1 - \beta_j T)$$

is an irreducible polynomial in $\mathbb{Q}_p[T]$.

Recall that a $K3$ *surface* X over a field is a projective smooth surface with trivial canonical bundle satisfying $H^1(X, \mathscr{O}_X) = 0$. It is well-known that if we write the L-function of a non-supersingular $K3$ surface X over a finite field $\mathbb{F}_q$ in the following form

$$L(X/\mathbb{F}_q, T) := \det(1 - T\mathrm{Frob}_{\mathbb{F}_q}; H^2_{\text{ét}}(X_{\overline{\mathbb{F}}_q}, \mathbb{Q}_\ell(1))) = \prod_{i=1}^{22} (1 - \gamma_i T),$$

then the polynomial

$$L_{\mathrm{trc}}(X/\mathbb{F}_q, T) := \prod_{\gamma_i \notin \mu_\infty} (1 - \gamma_i T)$$

satisfies Condition 1.1; see [23, Theorem 1]. Here, $\mathrm{Frob}_{\mathbb{F}_q} \in \mathrm{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_q/\mathbb{F}_q)$ is the geometric Frobenius element, and μ_∞ is the set of roots of unity. We call $L_{\mathrm{trc}}(X/\mathbb{F}_q, T)$ the *transcendental part* of $L(X/\mathbb{F}_q, T)$.

The following conjecture is a strong version of the existence of semistable reduction for $K3$ surfaces.

Conjecture 1.2 ([11, Assumption $(\star)$], [23, Definition 2])**.** *Let* K *be a henselian discrete valuation field with perfect residue field and* X *a* $K3$ *surface over* K. *Then there exist a finite extension* L/K *and a regular algebraic space* $\mathscr{X}$ *proper flat over the valuation ring* $\mathscr{O}_L$ *of* L *such that*

- *the generic fiber is isomorphic to* $X_L := X \otimes_K L$,
- *the closed fiber is a reduced simple normal crossing divisor, and*
- *the relative canonical bundle* $K_{\mathscr{X}/\mathscr{O}_L}$ *is trivial.*

Taelman showed the following theorem assuming Conjecture 1.2.

Theorem 1.3 (Taelman [23, Theorem 3])**.** *Let* p *be a prime number and* m *an integer with* $1 \leq m \leq 10$. *Assume that Conjecture 1.2 is true for any* $K3$ *surfaces over any finite extensions of* $\mathbb{Q}_p$. *Then, for a polynomial*

$$L(T) = \prod_{i=1}^{2m} (1 - \gamma_i T) \in 1 + T\mathbb{Q}[T],$$

satisfying Condition 1.1 for a power q *of* p, *there exist a positive integer* $n \geq 1$ *and a* $K3$ *surface* X *over* $\mathbb{F}_{q^n}$ *such that*

$$L_{\mathrm{trc}}(X/\mathbb{F}_{q^n}, T) = \prod_{i=1}^{2m} (1 - \gamma_i^n T).$$

The aim of this paper is to obtain Taelman's results unconditionally under some mild restrictions on the characteristic. The main theorem of this paper is as follows.

Theorem 1.4. *Let p be a prime number and m an integer with $1 \leq m \leq 10$.*

(1) *When $p \geq 7$, the assertion of Theorem 1.3 is true without assuming Conjecture 1.2.*
(2) *When $p = 5$, the assertion of Theorem 1.3 is true without assuming Conjecture 1.2 if at least one of the following conditions holds:*
   - *$1 \leq m \leq 9$,*
   - *the discriminant $\mathrm{disc}(\mathbb{Q}(\gamma_1))$ of the number field $\mathbb{Q}(\gamma_1)$ is a square, or*
   - *the integer e in Condition 1.1 is even.*

Remark 1.5. For a $K3$ surface X over $\mathbb{F}_{q^n}$ as in Theorem 1.3 or Theorem 1.4, the height $h(X_{\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p})$ of the formal Brauer group associated with $X_{\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p} := X \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_{q^n}} \overline{\mathbb{F}}_p$ is equal to h; see [23, Proposition 7]. We also have $\rho(X_{\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p}) = 22 - 2m$ by the Tate conjecture [12], [14], [3], [10]. Here $\rho(X_{\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p})$ is the Picard number of $X_{\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p}$. In fact, for $K3$ surfaces X constructed in the proof of Theorem 1.4, the equality $\rho(X_{\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p}) = 22 - 2m$ follows from the construction, and we do not need the Tate conjecture for this equality; see Section 5 and Section 6 for details.

Remark 1.6. It seems an interesting but difficult question to ask whether we can take $n = 1$ in Theorem 1.3 or Theorem 1.4; see [23, Remark 5].

Remark 1.7. Currently, by our methods, it seems difficult to prove the assertion of Theorem 1.3 unconditionally in the remaining cases (i.e. when $p \leq 3$, or $p = 5$, $m = 10$, $\mathrm{disc}(\mathbb{Q}(\gamma_1))$ is not a square, and e is odd). See Remark 6.2 and Remark 7.3 for explanations on technical difficulties.

In the proof of Theorem 1.4, we basically follow Taelman's strategy. We shall construct a $K3$ surface over a finite field with given L-function as the reduction modulo p of an appropriate $K3$ surface with CM. To obtain unconditional results, we need to apply Matsumoto's good reduction criterion without a priori assuming Conjecture 1.2. The point is that we can construct an appropriate $K3$ surface with CM so that it admits an elliptic fibration with a section or an ample line bundle of low degree. Then we use Saito's results to construct a strictly semistable model and apply a slight refinement of Matsumoto's criterion.

The outline of this paper is as follows. In Section 2, we recall Matsumoto's good reduction criterion for $K3$ surfaces. We also give a slight refinement for $K3$ surfaces with large Picard number. In Section 3, we apply these results to $K3$ surfaces with CM. In Section 4, we recall Bayer-Fluckiger's results on quadratic spaces and CM fields. Section 5 is the technical heart of this paper. In Section 5, we construct appropriate projective $K3$ surfaces over $\mathbb{C}$ with CM. Previously, Taelman constructed for any CM field F with $[F : \mathbb{Q}] \leq 20$, a projective $K3$ surface over $\mathbb{C}$ with CM by F by using Bayer-Fluckiger's results. Improving his arguments and using Nikulin's results on primitive embeddings of lattices into the $K3$ lattice, we can construct a projective $K3$ surface over $\mathbb{C}$ with CM by F so that it admits an elliptic fibration with a section or an ample line bundle of degree 2. In Section 6, we prove Theorem 1.4. In Section 7, as an application of Theorem 1.4, we construct $K3$ surfaces with given geometric Picard number and height over finite fields of characteristic $p \geq 5$.

2. Good reduction criterion for $K3$ surfaces

In this section, we recall the good reduction criterion for $K3$ surfaces due to Matsumoto [13]. We also give a slight refinement for $K3$ surfaces with large Picard number.

First, we recall well-known facts about $K3$ surfaces.

Lemma 2.1. *Let X be a $K3$ surface over an algebraically closed field k. Let $\mathscr{L}$ be a line bundle associated with an effective divisor. Assume that $(\mathscr{L})^2 \geq 0$ and $(\mathscr{L}, \mathscr{O}_X(C)) \geq 0$ for any smooth rational curve $C \simeq \mathbb{P}^1$ on X. Then $\mathscr{L}$ is nef.*

Proof. This result is stated in [7, Chapter 2, Corollary 1.5], however the assumption on the effectivity of $\mathscr{L}$ is missed. We briefly give a proof for the convenience of the reader. We take an ample line bundle $\mathscr{H}$. We shall show $\mathscr{L}^{\otimes n} \otimes \mathscr{H}$ is ample for any $n \geq 1$. Since $\mathscr{L}$ is effective and $(\mathscr{L})^2 \geq 0$, we have $(\mathscr{L}^{\otimes n} \otimes \mathscr{H})^2 > 0$. For any smooth rational curve $C \simeq \mathbb{P}^1$ on X, we have $(\mathscr{L}^{\otimes n} \otimes \mathscr{H}, \mathscr{O}_X(C)) > 0$ by the assumption. By the Nakai-Moishezon-Kleiman criterion for $K3$ surfaces [7, Chapter 2, Proposition 1.4], the line bundle $\mathscr{L}^{\otimes n} \otimes \mathscr{H}$ is ample. If $\mathscr{L}$ is not nef, there exists an irreducible curve D with $(\mathscr{L}, \mathscr{O}_X(D)) < 0$. Then we have $(\mathscr{L}^{\otimes n} \otimes \mathscr{H}, \mathscr{O}_X(D)) < 0$ for sufficiently large n, which is absurd since $\mathscr{L}^{\otimes n} \otimes \mathscr{H}$ is ample. □

Let U be the *hyperbolic plane*, i.e. U is a lattice

$$U := \mathbb{Z}e \oplus \mathbb{Z}f$$

such that its intersection pairing is given by $(e, e) = (f, f) = 0$ and $(e, f) = 1$.

Proposition 2.2. *Let X be a $K3$ surface over an algebraically closed field k of characteristic different from $2, 3$. Assume that there exists an embedding of lattices*

$$U \hookrightarrow \mathrm{Pic}(X),$$

where U is the hyperbolic plane. Then X admits an elliptic fibration with a section.

Proof. This result is well-known; see the proof of [7, Chapter 14, Corollary 3.8]. Since it is stated and proved only for complex $K3$ surfaces in [7, Chapter 14, Corollary 3.8], we briefly give a proof.

We shall show there exist an effective nef line bundle $\mathscr{L}$ and a line bundle $\mathscr{M}$ such that $(\mathscr{L})^2 = 0$, $(\mathscr{M})^2 \geq -2$, and $(\mathscr{L}, \mathscr{M}) = 1$. Let e, f be the $\mathbb{Z}$-basis of U as above. Let $\mathscr{L}$ be a line bundle corresponding to e and $\mathscr{M}$ a line bundle corresponding to f. By the Riemann-Roch theorem, we may assume $\mathscr{L}$ is effective by replacing e, f by $-e, -f$ if necessary; see [7, Chapter 2, Section 1.4]. If $\mathscr{L}$ is nef, we achieve our claim. If $\mathscr{L}$ is not nef, there exists a smooth rational curve $C \simeq \mathbb{P}^1$ on X with $(\mathscr{L}, \mathscr{O}_X(C)) < 0$ by Lemma 2.1. Let s_C be the reflection defined by

$$\begin{aligned} s_C \colon \mathrm{Pic}(X) &\longrightarrow \mathrm{Pic}(X) \\ x &\longrightarrow x + (x, \mathscr{O}_X(C))\mathscr{O}_X(C). \end{aligned}$$

The reflection s_C is an automorphism of $\mathrm{Pic}(X)$ as a lattice. We fix an ample line bundle $\mathscr{H}$. Since $(x)^2 = (s_C(x))^2$ for any $x \in \mathrm{Pic}(X)$ and $(\mathscr{H}, s_C(\mathscr{H})) > 0$, it preserves the positive cone of $\mathrm{Pic}(X)_{\mathbb{R}} := \mathrm{Pic}(X) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{R}$. It follows that $(\mathscr{H}, s_C(\mathscr{L})) \geq 0$. Again by the Riemann-Roch theorem, the line bundle $s_C(\mathscr{L})$ or its dual is effective. Since $(\mathscr{H}, s_C(\mathscr{L})) \geq 0$, it follows that $s_C(\mathscr{L})$ is effective. We have

$$0 \leq (s_C(\mathscr{L}), \mathscr{H}) < (\mathscr{L}, \mathscr{H}).$$

If $s_C(\mathscr{L})$ is not nef, we have a smooth rational curve C' with $(s_C(\mathscr{L}), \mathscr{O}_X(C')) < 0$ by Lemma 2.1. Then we consider $(s_{C'} \circ s_C)(\mathscr{L})$, and repeat the above process. By the above inequalities, this process must stop. Hence we have an effective nef line bundle $\mathscr{L}$ and a line bundle $\mathscr{M}$ such that $(\mathscr{L})^2 = 0$, $(\mathscr{M})^2 = 0 \geq -2$, and $(\mathscr{L}, \mathscr{M}) = 1$.

Since the characteristic of k is different from $2, 3$, we can apply [18, Section 3, Theorem 1]; see also [7, Chapter 2, Proposition 3.10]. Hence the line bundle $\mathscr{L}$ is base point free and $\mathscr{L} \simeq \mathscr{O}_X(E)$ for an elliptic curve E. Then the morphism

$$\psi_{\mathscr{L}} \colon X \to \mathbb{P}^1$$

is an elliptic fibration with $\psi_{\mathscr{L}}^* \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(1) \simeq \mathscr{L}$. Again by the Riemann-Roch theorem, the line bundle $\mathscr{M}$ or its dual is effective. Since $(\mathscr{L}, \mathscr{M}) = 1$ and $\mathscr{L}$ is nef, the line bundle $\mathscr{M}$ is effective. If we take an effective divisor D with $\mathscr{O}_X(D) \simeq \mathscr{M}$, the divisor D has an irreducible component D' such that $D' \simeq \mathbb{P}^1$ and D' is a section of the elliptic fibration $\psi_{\mathscr{L}}$. □

Proposition 2.3 ([7, Chapter 14, Corollary 3.8])**.** *Let X be a $K3$ surface over an algebraically closed field k of characteristic different from $2, 3$. Assume that the Picard number of X satisfies $12 \leq \rho(X) \leq 20$. Then there exists an embedding of lattices*

$$U \hookrightarrow \mathrm{Pic}(X).$$

In particular, X admits an elliptic fibration with a section.

Proof. See [7, Chapter 14, Corollary 3.8]. (Note that although [7, Chapter 14, Corollary 3.8] is stated and proved only for $K3$ surfaces over $\mathbb{C}$, it also holds in characteristic $p \geq 5$. Indeed, the $K3$ surface X is not supersingular by the Tate conjecture [12], [14], [3], [10], and we can lift the Picard group to characteristic 0; see the proof of [16, Proposition 5.5] and the proof of [16, Theorem 5.6].) Then we apply Proposition 2.2. □

Next, we recall Saito's results on the construction of strictly semistable models [20]. We state his results in the form suitable for our purposes. For an extension of fields L/K and a scheme X over K, we put $X_L := X \otimes_K L$.

Proposition 2.4 (Saito [20, Corollary 1.9])**.** *Let K be a discrete valuation field whose residue field is of characteristic $p \geq 5$. Let X be a $K3$ surface over K. Assume that X admits an elliptic fibration with a section. Then there exist a finite extension L/K and a regular scheme $\mathscr{Y}$ projective flat over the valuation ring $\mathscr{O}_L$ whose special fiber is a reduced simple normal crossing divisor and generic fiber is birational to X_L.*

Proof. See [20, Corollary 1.9 and Theorem 2.9]. See also the proof of (c) in [13, p.253]. □

The following theorem is essentially proved in Matsumoto's paper; see [13, Remark 1.2 (5)] and the proof of (c) in [13, p.253]. See also [11].

Theorem 2.5 (Matsumoto [13])**.** *Let K be a henselian discrete valuation field with perfect residue field of characteristic $p > 0$. Let X be a $K3$ surface over K satisfying at least one of the following conditions:*

- *the ℓ-adic étale cohomology $H^2_{\text{ét}}(X_{\overline{K}}, \mathbb{Q}_\ell)$ is unramified for some prime number $\ell \neq p$, or*
- *K is complete of characteristic 0 and the p-adic étale cohomology $H^2_{\text{ét}}(X_{\overline{K}}, \mathbb{Q}_p)$ is crystalline.*

Moreover, assume that at least one of the following conditions holds:

- *X admits an ample line bundle $\mathscr{L}$ with $p > (\mathscr{L})^2 + 4$,*
- *$p \geq 5$ and X admits an elliptic fibration with a section, or*
- *$p \geq 5$ and the Picard number of $X_{\overline{K}}$ satisfies $12 \leq \rho(X_{\overline{K}}) \leq 20$.*

Then there exist a finite extension K'/K and an algebraic space $\mathscr{X}$ proper smooth over the valuation ring $\mathscr{O}_{K'}$ such that $\mathscr{X} \otimes_{\mathscr{O}_{K'}} K' \simeq X_{K'}$.

Proof. When X admits an ample line bundle $\mathscr{L}$ with $p > (\mathscr{L})^2 + 4$, the assertion is proved in [13, Theorem 1.1]. (Precisely, the base field K is assumed to be complete in [13]. But exactly the same proof works for henselian discrete valuation fields. See also [11] where the results are stated over henselian discrete valuation fields.)

As explained in [13, Remark 1.2 (5)] and [11], if we can construct a strictly semistable model, we achieve the theorem. More precisely, if we have a finite extension L/K and a regular scheme $\mathscr{Y}$ proper flat over the valuation ring $\mathscr{O}_L$ whose special fiber is a reduced simple normal crossing divisor and generic fiber is birational to X_L, then X satisfies Conjecture 1.2 [11, Proposition 2.3] . (Here we need $p \geq 5$.) Then, we achieve the theorem by [11, Theorem 2.5].

When $p \geq 5$ and X admits an elliptic fibration with a section, by Proposition 2.4, we achieve the theorem as above.

Finally, when $p \geq 5$ and $12 \leq \rho(X_{\overline{K}}) \leq 20$ is satisfied, by Proposition 2.3, the $K3$ surface $X_{\overline{K}}$ admits an elliptic fibration with a section. Hence we achieve the theorem as in the previous case. □

Remark 2.6. It is not always possible to construct $\mathscr{X}$ as a scheme in Theorem 2.5; see [13, Example 5.2].

3. Good reduction of $K3$ surfaces with CM

We recall the definition of a complex projective $K3$ surface with CM. Let X be a projective $K3$ surface over $\mathbb{C}$. Let

$$T_X := \mathrm{Pic}(X)^{\perp}_{\mathbb{Q}} \subset H^2(X, \mathbb{Q})$$

be the transcendental part of the cohomology, which has the $\mathbb{Q}$-Hodge structure coming from $H^2(X, \mathbb{Q})$. Let

$$E_X := \mathrm{End}_{\mathrm{Hdg}}(T_X)$$

be the $\mathbb{Q}$-algebra of $\mathbb{Q}$-linear endomorphisms on T_X preserving the $\mathbb{Q}$-Hodge structure on it. Zarhin showed that E_X is a number field which is either totally real or CM [24, Theorem 1.5.1]. Here a number field is called CM if it is a purely imaginary quadratic extension of a totally real number field. Let $\mathrm{Hdg}(T_X)$ be the *Hodge group* of T_X. Zarhin proved that

$$\mathrm{Hdg}(T_X) = \begin{cases} \mathrm{SO}(T_X, \Phi) & (E_X \text{ is totally real}) \\ \mathrm{U}(T_X, \Phi) & (E_X \text{ is } CM), \end{cases}$$

where

$$\Phi \colon T_X \times T_X \longrightarrow E_X$$

is defined by

$$\langle ex, y \rangle = \mathrm{Tr}_{E_X/\mathbb{Q}}(e\Phi(x, y)),$$

for all $e \in E_X$ and $x, y \in T_X$; see [24, Theorem 2.2.1 and Theorem 2.3.1] for details. Here $\langle , \rangle$ is the intersection pairing on T_X. We say X has *complex multiplication* (CM)

if E_X is CM and $\dim_{E_X}(T_X) = 1$. It follows that X has CM if and only if $\mathrm{Hdg}(T_X)$ is commutative.

Remark 3.1. Pjateckiĭ-Šapiro and Šafarevič showed that every $K3$ surface with CM is defined over a number field [17, Theorem 4]. Rizov showed that, for a CM field F, a $K3$ surface X over $\mathbb{C}$ with CM by F is defined over an abelian extension of F [19, Corollary 3.9.4].

As an application of Theorem 2.5, we have the following results on the reduction of $K3$ surfaces with CM; see also [13, Theorem 6.3].

Theorem 3.2. *Let X be a projective $K3$ surface over $\mathbb{C}$ with CM. Let K be a number field embedded in $\mathbb{C}$ such that X is defined over K. Let v be a finite place of K above a prime number p. Assume that at least one of the following conditions holds:*

- *X admits an ample line bundle $\mathscr{L}$ with $p > (\mathscr{L})^2 + 4$,*
- *$p \geq 5$ and X admits an elliptic fibration with a section, or*
- *$p \geq 5$ and $12 \leq \rho(X_{\overline{K}}) \leq 20$.*

Then there exist a finite extension K'/K and an algebraic space $\mathscr{X}$ proper smooth over the valuation ring $\mathscr{O}_{K'}$ such that $\mathscr{X} \otimes_{\mathscr{O}_{K'}} K' \simeq X_{K'}$.

Proof. Since X has CM, after replacing K by a finite extension of it, we may assume $H^2_{\text{ét}}(X_{\overline{K}}, \mathbb{Q}_\ell)$ is unramified for some prime number $\ell \neq p$; see the proof of [13, Theorem 6.3]. Then we apply Theorem 2.5. □

4. Quadratic spaces and CM fields

In this section, we recall the results of Bayer-Fluckiger on embeddings of quadratic spaces over $\mathbb{Q}$ arising from a CM field into a given quadratic space over $\mathbb{Q}$.

First, we recall some definitions on quadratic spaces. Let k be a field of characteristic different from 2 and (V, q) a quadratic space over k. This means that V is a finite dimensional k-vector space equipped with a nondegenerate symmetric bilinear form

$$q\colon V \times V \longrightarrow k.$$

The *determinant* $\det(V) \in k^*/(k^{*2})$ and the *Hasse invariant* $w(V) \in \mathrm{Br}(k)[2]$ are defined as follows. We put $m := \dim_k V$. There exists an isomorphism of quadratic spaces

$$V \simeq \langle a_1, \ldots, a_m \rangle,$$

where $a_1, \ldots, a_m \in k^*$ and $\langle a_1, \ldots, a_m \rangle$ is a diagonal form. Then we define

$$\det(V) := \prod_{i=1}^{m} a_i \in k^*/(k^{*2}),$$

$$w(V) := \sum_{i<j} (a_i, a_j) \in \mathrm{Br}(k)[2],$$

where $(\ ,\ )$ denotes the Hilbert symbol and $\mathrm{Br}(k)[2]$ denotes the subgroup of elements of the Brauer group $\mathrm{Br}(k)$ killed by 2. Then $\det(V)$ and $w(V)$ do not depend on the choice of an isomorphism $V \simeq \langle a_1, \ldots, a_m \rangle$; see [21, Chapter IV] for details.

Let F be a CM field of degree $[F : \mathbb{Q}] = 2m$ with maximal totally real subfield F_0. The discriminant of F is denoted by $\mathrm{disc}(F) \in \mathbb{Z}$. It is easy to see that $(-1)^m \mathrm{disc}(F)$ is a positive integer. Let (V, q) be a quadratic space over $\mathbb{Q}$ of dimension $2m$. We say

the *hyperbolicity condition* holds for F and V if, for every prime number p such that all the places of F_0 above p split in F, we have

$$w(V_{\mathbb{Q}_p}) = w(U_{\mathbb{Q}_p}^{\oplus m}) \in \mathrm{Br}(\mathbb{Q}_p)[2],$$

where we put $V_{\mathbb{Q}_p} := V \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{Q}_p$ and $U_{\mathbb{Q}_p} := U \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}_p$ is the hyperbolic plane over $\mathbb{Q}_p$. (See Section 2 for the definition of U.) For each $\lambda \in F_0^*$, we define the quadratic space (F, q_λ) over $\mathbb{Q}$ by

$$\begin{aligned} q_\lambda \colon F \times F &\longrightarrow \mathbb{Q} \\ (x, y) &\longrightarrow \mathrm{Tr}_{F/\mathbb{Q}}(\lambda x i(y)), \end{aligned}$$

where $i \colon F \to F$ is the involution defined by the nontrivial element of $\mathrm{Gal}(F/F_0)$.

In [2], Bayer-Fluckiger showed general results on embeddings of an algebraic torus into a given orthogonal group over a global field of characteristic different from 2. In terms of quadratic spaces, her results are stated as follows.

Theorem 4.1 (Bayer-Fluckiger [2])**.** *Let F be a CM field of degree $[F : \mathbb{Q}] = 2m$, and (V, q) a quadratic space over $\mathbb{Q}$ of dimension $2m$. Then there exists $\lambda \in F_0^*$ such that*

$$(V, q) \simeq (F, q_\lambda)$$

if and only if all of the following conditions hold:

- $\det(V) = (-1)^m \mathrm{disc}(F)$ *in* $\mathbb{Q}^*/(\mathbb{Q}^{*2})$,
- *the signature of V is even, and*
- *the hyperbolicity condition holds for F and V.*

Proof. See [2, Proposition 1.3.1 and Corollary 4.0.3]. □

5. Construction of $K3$ surfaces with CM with additional conditions

In this section, we shall construct projective $K3$ surfaces over $\mathbb{C}$ with CM by a given CM field. Here the point is that, in order to make Taelman's argument unconditional, we require the $K3$ surfaces constructed in this section satisfy some additional conditions; see Proposition 5.4. Compare with Taelman's construction in the proof of [23, Theorem 4].

First, we make some preparations.

Lemma 5.1. *Let F be a CM field with maximal totally real subfield F_0. Then there exist infinitely many prime numbers p such that there exists a place of F_0 above p which does not split in F.*

Proof. See the proof of [2, Lemma 2.2.2]. □

Lemma 5.2. *Let p be an odd prime number. Let x be an integer not divisible by p. Then there exists an odd prime number q such that $q \equiv x \pmod{p}$ and $q \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$.*

Proof. By the Chinese remainder theorem, there exists an integer $a \in \mathbb{Z}$ satisfying $a \equiv x \pmod{p}$ and $a \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$. By Dirichlet's theorem on arithmetic progressions, there exists a prime number q satisfying $q \equiv a \pmod{4p}$. The prime number q is odd, and satisfies $q \equiv x \pmod{p}$ and $q \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$. □

Let $U = \mathbb{Z}e \oplus \mathbb{Z}f$ be the hyperbolic plane as in Section 2. We define the $K3$ *lattice*

$$\Lambda_{K3} := (-E_8)^{\oplus 2} \oplus U^{\oplus 3},$$

which is an even unimodular lattice of signature $(3, 19)$ over $\mathbb{Z}$; see [23, Lemma 17].

Lemma 5.3. *Let m be an integer with $6 \leq m \leq 10$, and F a CM field of degree $[F : \mathbb{Q}] = 2m$ with maximal totally real subfield F_0. There exist an even lattice N of rank $22 - 2m$ and signature $(1, 21 - 2m)$, and a primitive embedding*

$$N \hookrightarrow \Lambda_{K3}$$

satisfying the following conditions:

- *for the orthogonal complement $T := N^{\perp} \subset \Lambda_{K3}$, the quadratic space $(T_{\mathbb{Q}}, \langle , \rangle)$ is isomorphic to (F, q_λ) for some $\lambda \in F_0$,*
- *if $6 \leq m \leq 9$, or $m = 10$ and $\mathrm{disc}(F)$ is a square, there exists an embedding $U \hookrightarrow N$, and*
- *if $m = 10$ and $\mathrm{disc}(F)$ is not a square, there exists an element $x \in N$ with $(x)^2 = 2$ and no element $y \in N$ satisfies $(y)^2 = -2$.*

Here, an embedding $N \hookrightarrow \Lambda_{K3}$ is called primitive *if the cokernel Λ_{K3}/N is torsion free. We put $T_{\mathbb{Q}} := T \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}$ and $\langle , \rangle$ is the pairing induced from the pairing on Λ_{K3}.*

Proof. Since F is a CM field of degree $2m$, we can write $\mathrm{disc}(F) = (-1)^m n \in \mathbb{Z}$ for some positive integer $n \geq 1$. By Lemma 5.1, there exists an odd prime number p_1 such that there exists a place of F_0 above p_1 which does not split in F. By Lemma 5.2, there exists an odd prime number $p_2 \neq p_1$ such that $p_2 \equiv 3 \pmod 4$ and

$$\left(\frac{p_2}{p_1}\right) = \begin{cases} 1 & (p_1 \equiv 3 \pmod 4) \\ -1 & (p_1 \equiv 1 \pmod 4), \end{cases}$$

where $\left(\dfrac{p_2}{p_1}\right)$ is the Legendre symbol.

Let us consider the following lattice N. When $6 \leq m \leq 9$, we put

$$N := \begin{cases} U \oplus \langle -4n \rangle \oplus \langle -4 \rangle^{\oplus 19-2m} & (m = 6, 9) \\ U \oplus \langle -4n \rangle \oplus \langle -4 \rangle^{\oplus 2} \oplus \langle -4p_1 \rangle \oplus \langle -4p_2 \rangle \oplus \langle -4p_1p_2 \rangle & (m = 7) \\ U \oplus \langle -4n \rangle \oplus \langle -4p_1 \rangle \oplus \langle -4p_2 \rangle \oplus \langle -4p_1p_2 \rangle & (m = 8). \end{cases}$$

When $m = 10$ and $\mathrm{disc}(F)$ is a square, we put

$$N := U.$$

When $m = 10$ and $\mathrm{disc}(F)$ is not a square, we put

$$N := \langle 2 \rangle \oplus \langle -8n \rangle.$$

The lattice N is an even lattice of rank $22 - 2m$ and signature $(1, 21 - 2m)$. Since $22 - 2m \leq 10$, we have a primitive embedding

$$N \hookrightarrow \Lambda_{K3}$$

by Nikulin's theorem [15, Theorem 1.14.4], [7, Chapter 14, Corollary 3.1]. Let

$$T := N^{\perp} \subset \Lambda_{K3}$$

be the orthogonal complement of N in Λ_{K3}.

For the $K3$ lattice Λ_{K3}, we have:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{sign}(\Lambda_{K3,\mathbb{Q}}) &= (3,\ 19), \\ \det(\Lambda_{K3,\mathbb{Q}}) &= -1 \in \mathbb{Q}^*/(\mathbb{Q}^{*2}), \\ w(\Lambda_{K3,\mathbb{Q}}) &= (-1,\ -1) \in \mathrm{Br}(\mathbb{Q})[2]. \end{aligned}$$

Hence we have:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{sign}(T_{\mathbb{Q}}) &= (2, 2m-2),\\ \det(T_{\mathbb{Q}}) &= -\det(N_{\mathbb{Q}}) = n \in \mathbb{Q}^*/(\mathbb{Q}^{*2}),\\ w(T_{\mathbb{Q}}) &= (-1,-1) + w(N_{\mathbb{Q}}) + (-n, n) = (-1,-1) + w(N_{\mathbb{Q}}) \in \mathrm{Br}(\mathbb{Q})[2].\end{aligned}$$

(See [23, Lemma 14] for the calculation of these invariants.) Here $(-n, n) \in \mathrm{Br}(\mathbb{Q})[2]$ is trivial since the equation $-nX^2 + nY^2 = Z^2$ has a non-trivial solution such as $(X, Y, Z) = (1, 1, 0)$.

We shall show that the hyperbolicity condition (see Section 4) holds for F and $T_{\mathbb{Q}}$. Namely, for any prime number q such that all the places in F_0 above q split in F, we shall show

$$w(U_{\mathbb{Q}_q}^{\oplus m}) = w(T_{\mathbb{Q}_q}) \in \mathrm{Br}(\mathbb{Q}_q)[2] \simeq \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}.$$

Note that we have

$$w(U_{\mathbb{Q}_q}^{\oplus m}) = ((-1)^{m(m-1)/2}, -1)_q.$$

- When $m = 6, 9$, we have

  $$N_{\mathbb{Q}} \simeq \langle 1\rangle \oplus \langle -1\rangle^{\oplus 20-2m} \oplus \langle -n\rangle.$$

  Hence we have

  $$w(T_{\mathbb{Q}_q}) = \begin{cases}(-1,-1)_q & (m=6)\\ 0 & (m=9).\end{cases}$$

  Hence we have $w(U_{\mathbb{Q}_q}^{\oplus m}) = w(T_{\mathbb{Q}_q})$ for any prime number q.
- When $m = 7, 8$, we have

  $$N_{\mathbb{Q}} \simeq \begin{cases}\langle 1\rangle \oplus \langle -1\rangle^{\oplus 3} \oplus \langle -n\rangle \oplus \langle -p_1\rangle \oplus \langle -p_2\rangle \oplus \langle -p_1p_2\rangle & (m=7)\\ \langle 1\rangle \oplus \langle -1\rangle \oplus \langle -n\rangle \oplus \langle -p_1\rangle \oplus \langle -p_2\rangle \oplus \langle -p_1p_2\rangle & (m=8).\end{cases}$$

  Hence we have

  $$w(T_{\mathbb{Q}_q}) = \begin{cases}(-1,-1)_q + (-p_1,-p_2)_q & (m=7)\\ (-p_1,-p_2)_q & (m=8).\end{cases}$$

  Let q be a prime number such that all the places in F_0 above q split in F. Then we have $q \neq p_1$. By the above calculation, it is enough to show $(-p_1,-p_2)_q = 0$ for any prime number $q \neq p_1$. When $q \neq 2, p_1, p_2$, we have $(-p_1,-p_2)_q = 0$. When $q = 2$, since $-p_2 \equiv 1 \pmod 4$, we have $(-p_1,-p_2)_2 = 0$; see [21, Chapter III, Theorem 1]. When $q = p_2$, it is enough to prove

  $$\left(\frac{-p_1}{p_2}\right) = 1.$$

  See [21, Chapter III, Theorem 1]. By the Quadratic Reciprocity Law [21, Chapter I, Theorem 6], we have

  $$\left(\frac{-p_1}{p_2}\right) = \left(\frac{-1}{p_2}\right)\left(\frac{p_1}{p_2}\right) = -(-1)^{(p_1-1)(p_2-1)/4}\left(\frac{p_2}{p_1}\right) = 1.$$

- When $m = 10$ and $\mathrm{disc}(F)$ is a square, we have
$$N_{\mathbb{Q}} \simeq \langle 1 \rangle \oplus \langle -1 \rangle.$$
Hence we have
$$w(T_{\mathbb{Q}_q}) = (-1, -1)_q.$$
Therefore we have $w(U_{\mathbb{Q}_q}^{\oplus m}) = w(T_{\mathbb{Q}_q})$ for any prime number q.
- When $m = 10$ and $\mathrm{disc}(F)$ is not a square, we have
$$N_{\mathbb{Q}} \simeq \langle 2 \rangle \oplus \langle -2n \rangle.$$
Hence we have
$$w(T_{\mathbb{Q}_q}) = (-1, -1)_q + (2, -2n)_q.$$
Let q be a prime number such that all the places in F_0 above q split in F. Then we have $\mathrm{disc}(F) = 1 \in \mathbb{Q}_q^*/(\mathbb{Q}_q^{*2})$. Since $\mathrm{disc}(F) = (-1)^{10}n = n$, we have $(2, -2n)_q = (2, -2) = 0$. Hence we have $w(U_{\mathbb{Q}_q}^{\oplus 10}) = (-1, -1)_q = w(T_{\mathbb{Q}_q})$.

By Theorem 4.1, the quadratic space $(T_{\mathbb{Q}}, \langle , \rangle)$ is isomorphic to (F, q_λ) for some $\lambda \in F_0^*$. If $m = 10$ and $\mathrm{disc}(F)$ is not a square, it is easy to see that no element $y \in N$ satisfies $(y, y) = -2$. Indeed, if there exist $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that
$$2a^2 - 8nb^2 = -2,$$
then $a^2 + 1$ is divisible by 4, which is absurd. □

Now, we shall show the main results of this section.

Proposition 5.4. *Let m be an integer with $1 \leq m \leq 10$, and F a CM field of degree $[F : \mathbb{Q}] = 2m$. Then there exists a projective $K3$ surface Z over $\mathbb{C}$ with CM by F satisfying the following conditions:*

- $\rho(Z) = 22 - 2m$,
- *if $1 \leq m \leq 9$, or $m = 10$ and $\mathrm{disc}(F)$ is a square, the $K3$ surface Z admits an elliptic fibration with a section, and*
- *if $m = 10$ and $\mathrm{disc}(F)$ is not a square, the $K3$ surface Z admits an ample line bundle of degree 2.*

Proof. If $1 \leq m \leq 5$, by [23, Theorem 4], there exists a projective $K3$ surface Z over $\mathbb{C}$ with CM by F. Since $\rho(Z) = 22 - 2m \geq 12$, by Proposition 2.3, the $K3$ surface Z admits an elliptic fibration with a section.

If $6 \leq m \leq 10$, by Lemma 5.3, there exist an even lattice N of rank $22 - 2m$ and signature $(1, 21 - 2m)$, an element $\lambda \in F_0^*$, and a primitive embedding
$$N \hookrightarrow \Lambda_{K3}$$
satisfying $(T_{\mathbb{Q}}, \langle , \rangle) \simeq (F, q_\lambda)$, where we put $T := N^\perp \subset \Lambda_{K3}$, $T_{\mathbb{Q}} := T \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}$, and $\langle , \rangle$ is the pairing induced from the pairing on Λ_{K3}. By using the surjectivity of the period map for $K3$ surfaces over $\mathbb{C}$, there exists a projective $K3$ surface Z over $\mathbb{C}$ with CM by F satisfying
$$N \simeq \mathrm{Pic}(Z).$$
For the construction of Z, see [23, Subsection 3.3] for details.

Moreover, if $6 \leq m \leq 9$, or $m = 10$ and $\mathrm{disc}(F)$ is a square, there exists an embedding
$$U \hookrightarrow N \simeq \mathrm{Pic}(Z).$$

By Proposition 2.2, the $K3$ surface Z admits an elliptic fibration with a section.

If $m = 10$ and $\mathrm{disc}(F)$ is not a square, no element $y \in N \simeq \mathrm{Pic}(X)$ satisfies $(y)^2 = -2$, hence the $K3$ surface Z does not contain smooth rational curves. Therefore, any line bundle on Z in the positive cone of $\mathrm{Pic}(Z)_{\mathbb{R}}$ is ample; see [7, Chapter 2, Proposition 1.4]. In particular, for any element $x \in N \simeq \mathrm{Pic}(Z)$ with $(x)^2 = 2$, we see that x or $-x$ corresponds to an ample line bundle of degree 2. □

The statement of Proposition 5.4 on the existence of an elliptic fibration with a section on a $K3$ surface with CM is optimal. Indeed, we have the following proposition.

Proposition 5.5. *Let F be a CM field with $[F : \mathbb{Q}] = 20$. Then the following assertions are equivalent:*

- $\mathrm{disc}(F)$ *is a square,*
- *there exists a projective $K3$ surface Z over $\mathbb{C}$ with CM by F which admits an elliptic fibration with a section.*

Proof. If $\mathrm{disc}(F)$ is a square, by Proposition 5.4, there exists a projective $K3$ surface Z over $\mathbb{C}$ with CM by F which admits an elliptic fibration with a section.

Next, we assume that there exists a projective $K3$ surface Z over $\mathbb{C}$ with CM by F which admits an elliptic fibration with a section. Let F_0 be the maximal totally real subfield of F. It is well-known that the quadratic space $(T_Z, \langle , \rangle)$ is isomorphic to (F, q_λ) for some element $\lambda \in F_0^*$. (See [4, Proposition 1.3.10] for example.) Here, the lattice T_Z is the transcendental part of $H^2(Z, \mathbb{Q})$ and $\langle , \rangle$ is the intersection pairing; see Section 3. We briefly recall the argument. Since $\dim_F(T_Z) = 1$, there exists an element $v \in T_Z$ with $T_Z \simeq Fv$. Consider the bilinear form

$$\Phi \colon T_Z \times T_Z \longrightarrow F,$$

as in Section 3. We put $\lambda := \Phi(v, v)$. Then we have

$$\langle av, bv \rangle = \langle ai(b)v, v \rangle = \mathrm{Tr}_{F/\mathbb{Q}}(ai(b)\lambda) = q_\lambda(a, b)$$

for any $a, b \in F$. (See [24, Section 1.5] for the first equality.) We also have

$$\langle av, bv \rangle = \langle bv, av \rangle = \mathrm{Tr}_{F/\mathbb{Q}}(bi(a)\lambda) = \mathrm{Tr}_{F/\mathbb{Q}}(ai(b)i(\lambda)).$$

Hence $\lambda \in F_0^*$, and we have $(T_Z, \langle , \rangle) \simeq (F, q_\lambda)$. Since $\rho(Z) = 2$ and Z has an elliptic fibration with a section, we have $\mathrm{Pic}(Z) \simeq U$. In particular, we have $\det(\mathrm{Pic}(Z)_{\mathbb{Q}}) = -1$. Since $\det(\Lambda_{K3,\mathbb{Q}}) = -1 \in \mathbb{Q}^*/(\mathbb{Q}^{*2})$ and $\Lambda_{K3,\mathbb{Q}} \simeq \mathrm{Pic}(Z)_{\mathbb{Q}} \oplus T_Z$, we have

$$\mathrm{disc}(F) = (-1)^{10}\det(T_Z) = 1 \in \mathbb{Q}^*/(\mathbb{Q}^{*2})$$

by [2, Lemma 1.3.2]. □

6. Proof of Theorem 1.4

In this section, we shall prove Theorem 1.4.

First, we prove the following lemma.

Lemma 6.1. *For a finite extension of CM fields F/E with $[F : E] = e$, we have*

$$\mathrm{disc}(F) = \mathrm{disc}(E)^e \in \mathbb{Q}^*/(\mathbb{Q}^{*2}).$$

Proof. For an extension of number fields K_2/K_1, we denote the relative discriminant of K_2/K_1 by $\mathfrak{d}_{K_2/K_1}$. Let F_0 (resp. E_0) be the maximal totally real subfield of F (resp. E). We have the following equalities of fractional ideals of $\mathbb{Q}$

$$\begin{aligned}\operatorname{disc}(F)\mathbb{Z} &= (\operatorname{disc}(F_0))^2 \operatorname{N}_{F_0/\mathbb{Q}}(\mathfrak{d}_{F/F_0}),\\ \operatorname{disc}(E)\mathbb{Z} &= (\operatorname{disc}(E_0))^2 \operatorname{N}_{E_0/\mathbb{Q}}(\mathfrak{d}_{E/E_0}).\end{aligned}$$

(See [22, Chapter 3, Proposition 8].) We put $E = E_0(\sqrt{\beta})$ for some $\beta \in E_0$. Then we have $\mathfrak{d}_{E/E_0} = \beta I^2$ for some fractional ideal I of E_0. (See [22, Chapter 3, Proposition 5].) We have

$$\begin{aligned}\operatorname{N}_{E_0/\mathbb{Q}}(\mathfrak{d}_{E/E_0}) &= \operatorname{N}_{E_0/\mathbb{Q}}(\beta I^2)\\ &= \operatorname{N}_{E_0/\mathbb{Q}}(\beta)\operatorname{N}_{E_0/\mathbb{Q}}(I)^2.\end{aligned}$$

Similarly, since $F = F_0(\sqrt{\beta})$, we have $\mathfrak{d}_{F/F_0} = \beta I'^2$ for some fractional ideal I' of F_0, and we have

$$\begin{aligned}\operatorname{N}_{F_0/\mathbb{Q}}(\mathfrak{d}_{F/F_0}) &= \operatorname{N}_{E_0/\mathbb{Q}}(\operatorname{N}_{F_0/E_0}(\beta I'^2))\\ &= \operatorname{N}_{E_0/\mathbb{Q}}(\beta^e \operatorname{N}_{F_0/E_0}(I')^2)\\ &= \operatorname{N}_{E_0/\mathbb{Q}}(\beta)^e \operatorname{N}_{F_0/\mathbb{Q}}(I')^2.\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we have the following equality of fractional ideals of $\mathbb{Q}$

$$\operatorname{disc}(F)\mathbb{Z} = \operatorname{disc}(E)^e\, I''^2$$

for some fractional ideal I'' of $\mathbb{Q}$. Since F, E are CM fields, we see that $\operatorname{disc}(F)$ and $\operatorname{disc}(E)^e$ have the same sign. It follows that we have

$$\operatorname{disc}(F) = \operatorname{disc}(E)^e \in \mathbb{Q}^*/(\mathbb{Q}^{*2}).$$

□

Proof of Theorem 1.4. We briefly recall Taelman's argument; see [23, Section 4] for details. Consider a polynomial

$$L(T) = \prod_{i=1}^{2m}(1-\gamma_i T) \in 1 + T\mathbb{Q}[T]$$

of degree $2m$ satisfying Condition 1.1. We put $E := \mathbb{Q}(\gamma_1)$. By Condition 1.1, the number field E is a CM field which has a unique finite place w satisfying $w(\gamma_1) < 0$ above p. Take a finite extension F/E of CM fields such that $[F : \mathbb{Q}] = 2m$ and F has a unique finite place above w; see [23, Lemma 23]. By Lemma 6.1, $\operatorname{disc}(F)$ is a square if $\operatorname{disc}(E)$ is a square or e is even.

Assume that at least one of the following conditions is satisfied:

- $p \geq 5$ and $1 \leq m \leq 9$,
- $p \geq 5$, $m = 10$, and $\operatorname{disc}(E)$ is a square, or
- $p \geq 5$, $m = 10$, and e is even.

Then, by Proposition 5.4, there exists a projective $K3$ surface Z over $\mathbb{C}$ with CM by F which admits an elliptic fibration with a section.

Assume $p \geq 7$, $m = 10$, $\operatorname{disc}(E)$ is not a square, and e is odd. By Proposition 5.4, there exists a projective $K3$ surface Z over $\mathbb{C}$ with CM by F which admits an ample line bundle $\mathscr{L}$ of degree 2.

In any of these cases, by Rizov's theorem, the $K3$ surface Z is defined over an abelian extension L of F; see Remark 3.1. By Theorem 3.2, after replacing L by a finite extension of it, there is an algebraic space $\mathscr{Z}$ which is proper and smooth over the valuation ring $\mathscr{O}_{L_{w'}}$, where w' is a finite place of L above w. (Here, we use the inequality $p > (\mathscr{L})^2 + 4 = 6$ when $p \geq 7$, $m = 10$, $\mathrm{disc}(E)$ is not a square, and e is odd.) We denote the special fiber of $\mathscr{Z}$ by $\mathscr{Z}_s$, which is a $K3$ surface over the residue field $k(s)$.

Rizov also proved the main theorem of complex multiplication for $K3$ surfaces [19, Corollary 3.9.2]. Taelman used Rizov's results to calculate the zeta function of the reduction modulo p of a $K3$ surface with CM if it has good reduction; see the proof of [23, Proposition 25]. As explained in [23, Section 4], it follows that there exists a finite extension $k'/k(s)$ such that the transcendental part of the L-function of $\mathscr{Z}_s \otimes_{k(s)} k'$ satisfies

$$L_{\mathrm{trc}}((\mathscr{Z}_s \otimes_{k(s)} k')/k', T) = \prod_{i=1}^{2m} (1 - \gamma_i^{[k':\mathbb{F}_q]} T).$$

The proof of Theorem 1.4 is complete. □

Remark 6.2. It seems difficult to weaken the assumption of Theorem 1.4 by our methods. Currently, in order to apply Matsumoto's good reduction criterion for $K3$ surfaces or its variants, we always need $p \geq 5$; see Theorem 2.5 and [13], [11]. The main reason is, in Matsumoto's proof, Saito's construction of strictly semistable models [20] and Kawamata's results on minimal models [8], [9] are crucially used, and both results require $p \geq 5$. (See [9, Section 3] for explanations why $p \geq 5$ is necessary to use Kawamata's results.) When $p = 5$, we cannot treat the remaining case (i.e. when $m = 10$, $\mathrm{disc}(E)$ is not a square, and e is odd) by our methods. Indeed, in this case, for any CM field F containing E with $[F : E] = e$, the discriminant $\mathrm{disc}(F)$ is not a square by Lemma 6.1. By Proposition 5.5, any $K3$ surface Z with CM by F does not admit an elliptic fibration with a section. Hence we cannot apply Theorem 2.5 for such Z. See also Remark 7.3.

7. Construction of K3 surfaces over finite fields with given geometric Picard number and height

In [1], Artin proved that, for a $K3$ surface X over an algebraically closed field of characteristic $p > 0$, the Picard number $\rho(X)$ and the height $h(X)$ of the formal Brauer group satisfy the inequality

$$\rho(X) \leq 22 - 2h(X).$$

In this section, as an application of Theorem 1.4, we shall construct $K3$ surfaces with given geometric Picard number and height over finite fields of characteristic $p \geq 5$.

Lemma 7.1. *Let p be a prime number, and h', m' integers with $1 \leq h' \leq m' \leq 10$. Then there exists a polynomial*

$$L(T) \in 1 + T\mathbb{Q}[T]$$

of degree $2m'$ satisfying Condition 1.1 for a power q of p, $h = h'$, and $m = m'$.

Proof. Let $S \subset \mathbb{C}$ be the set of roots of unity whose minimal polynomials over $\mathbb{Q}$ have degree less than or equal to 20. We put

$$S' := \{\, x \in \mathbb{C} \mid x = t + 1/t \text{ for some } t \in S \,\}.$$

We can take a monic polynomial

$$F(T) = T^{m'} + c_{m'-1}T^{m'-1} + \cdots + c_1 T + c_0 \in \mathbb{Q}[T]$$

of degree m' with roots $\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_{m'} \in \overline{\mathbb{Q}} \subset \mathbb{C}$ such that all of the following conditions are satisfied:

- $\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_{m'} \in \mathbb{R}$,
- $|\alpha_i| < 2$,
- $\alpha_i \notin S'$ for any $1 \leq i \leq m'$,
- $F(T) \in \mathbb{Z}_\ell[T]$ for all prime numbers $\ell \neq p$,
- $\nu_p(c_{m'-h'}) = -a$ for some positive integer $a \geq 1$ which is coprime to h', and
- $c_i \in \mathbb{Z}$ for any $i \neq m' - h'$.

For example, we can find such polynomials as follows. We put:

- $f_0(T) := T - 1$,
- $f_i(T) := T^2 - i \quad (i = 1, 2, 3)$,
- $f_4(T) := T^3 - 3T + 1$,
- $f_5(T) := T^4 - 4T^2 + 1$.

As a product of $f_0, \ldots, f_5$, we can find a monic polynomial $F_0(T) \in \mathbb{Z}[T]$ of degree m' which has m' distinct real roots $\beta_1, \ldots, \beta_{m'} \neq 0$ with $|\beta_i| < 2$ for any i (for example, take $F_0(T)$ as in Table 1 below).

m'	$F_0(T)$
1	$f_0(T)$
2	$f_1(T)$
3	$f_4(T)$
4	$f_5(T)$
5	$f_1(T)f_4(T)$
6	$f_1(T)f_5(T)$
7	$f_4(T)f_5(T)$
8	$f_1(T)f_2(T)f_5(T)$
9	$f_1(T)f_4(T)f_5(T)$
10	$f_1(T)f_2(T)f_3(T)f_5(T)$

TABLE 1.

Since S' is a finite set, if we take a sufficiently large positive integer a which is coprime to h', the polynomial

$$F(T) = F_0(T) + p^{-a}T^{m'-h'}$$

satisfies the above conditions.

Then the polynomial

$$L(T) = T^{m'}F(T + 1/T)$$

satisfies Condition 1.1. The first three conditions can be checked easily. To check the last three conditions, it is enough to show that $L(T)$ is irreducible in $\mathbb{Q}[T]$ and that $L_{<0}(T)$ is irreducible in $\mathbb{Q}_p[T]$.

If we write $L(T)$ in the following form

$$L(T) = T^{2m'} + d_{2m'-1}T^{2m'-1} + \cdots + d_1 T + 1,$$

we have

$$\begin{cases} \nu_p(d_i) \geq 0 & (1 \leq i \leq h'-1,\ 2m'-h'+1 \leq i \leq 2m'-1), \\ \nu_p(d_i) = -a & (i = h', 2m'-h'), \\ \nu_p(d_i) \geq -a & (h'+1 \leq i \leq 2m'-h'-1). \end{cases}$$

It follows that the degree of $L_{<0}(T) \in \mathbb{Q}_p[T]$ is h' and the inverse γ of every root of $L_{<0}(T)$ satisfies $\nu_p(\gamma) = -a/h'$. Hence $L(T)$ satisfies the third condition of Condition 1.1 for $q = p^a$ and $h = h'$. Since a is coprime to h', it follows that $L_{<0}(T)$ is irreducible in $\mathbb{Q}_p[T]$.

Assume that $L(T)$ is not irreducible in $\mathbb{Q}[T]$. Since $L_{<0}(T)$ is irreducible in $\mathbb{Q}_p[T]$, $L(T)$ is divisible by two different irreducible monic polynomials $L_1(T), L_2(T) \in \mathbb{Q}[T]$. Since they do not have common roots and $L_{<0}(T)$ is irreducible in $\mathbb{Q}_p[T]$, we may assume that every root γ of $L_1(T)$ satisfies $\nu_p(\gamma) = 0$. Since $L(T) \in \mathbb{Z}_\ell[T]$ for all prime numbers $\ell \neq p$, it follows that $L_1(T) \in \mathbb{Z}[T]$. Since every root of $L(T)$ has complex absolute value one, it follows that $L_1(T)$ is a cyclotomic polynomial by Kronecker's theorem. This contradicts to our construction of $L(T)$. Hence $L(T)$ is irreducible in $\mathbb{Q}[T]$. □

Lemma 7.2. *Let p be a prime number, and h' an integer with $1 \leq h' \leq 10$. Then there exists a polynomial*

$$L(T) = \prod_{i=1}^{20}(1 - \gamma_i T) \in 1 + T\mathbb{Q}[T]$$

of degree 20 *such that Condition 1.1 is satisfied for a power q of p, $h = h'$, and $m = 10$, and at least one of the following conditions is satisfied:*

- $\mathrm{disc}(\mathbb{Q}(\gamma_1))$ *is a square, or*
- *the integer e in Condition 1.1 is even.*

Proof. First, we assume h' is even. We write $h' = 2h''$. By Lemma 7.1, there exists a polynomial $L(T) \in 1 + T\mathbb{Q}[T]$ of degree 10 satisfying Condition 1.1 for a power q of p, $h = h''$, and $m = 5$. Then the square $L(T)^2$ satisfies our conditions for q^2, $h = h' = 2h''$, and $m = 10$.

Next, we assume $h' = 1, 3, 7,$ or 9. We take a totally real field M_0 with $[M_0 : \mathbb{Q}] = 10$ such that M_0 has a finite place v above p with ramification index $e_v = h'$ and residue degree $f_v = 1$. (For example, we can take $M_0 := \mathbb{Q}[T]/(F(T))$ for the polynomial $F(T)$ constructed in the proof of Lemma 7.1.) Choose a positive integer $n \geq 1$ such that v splits into the CM field $M := M_0(\sqrt{-n})$. As in the proof of Lemma 6.1, we have

$$\mathrm{disc}(M) = \mathrm{N}_{M_0/\mathbb{Q}}(-n) = (-n)^{10} \in \mathbb{Q}^*/(\mathbb{Q}^{*2}).$$

Hence $\mathrm{disc}(M)$ is a square. Let w_1, w_2 be the finite places of M above v. Since the class number of M is finite, there exists an element $\alpha \in M^*$ with $w_1(\alpha) > 0$ and $w(\alpha) = 0$ for any finite places $w \neq w_1$ of M. Let i be the nontrivial element of $\mathrm{Gal}(M/M_0)$. Let $\beta := \alpha/i(\alpha)$. We shall show $\mathbb{Q}(\beta) = M$ by a similar argument as in the proof of [6, Lemma D.3]. Let w' be a place of $\mathbb{Q}(\beta)$ under w_1. From our construction, w_1 is the unique place above w'. Since $e_{w_1} = h'$ and $f_{w_1} = 1$, the extension degree $[M : \mathbb{Q}(\beta)]$ divides h'. Since h' is coprime to $[M : \mathbb{Q}] = 20$, we have $[M : \mathbb{Q}(\beta)] = 1$. Hence the minimal polynomial $L(T)$ of β over $\mathbb{Q}$ has degree 20, and it satisfies our conditions for a power q of p, $h = h'$, and $m = 10$.

Finally, we assume $h' = 5$. By the above discussion, we can construct a polynomial

$$L(T) = \prod_{i=1}^{4}(1 - \gamma_i T) \in 1 + T\mathbb{Q}[T]$$

of degree 4 satisfying Condition 1.1 for a power q of p, $h = 1$, and $m = 2$ such that $\mathrm{disc}(\mathbb{Q}(\gamma_1))$ is a square. Then the fifth power $L(T)^5$ satisfies our conditions for q^5, $h = 5$, and $m = 10$.

□

Remark 7.3. For $p = 5$, there exists a polynomial

$$L(T) = \prod_{i=1}^{20}(1 - \gamma_i T) \in 1 + T\mathbb{Q}[T]$$

of degree 20 satisfying Condition 1.1 for a power q of 5, $h = 1$, and $m = 10$ such that $\mathrm{disc}(\mathbb{Q}(\gamma_1))$ is not a square. Then, as explained in Remark 6.2, we cannot show the assertion of Theorem 1.3 for $L(T)$ by our methods. For example, such a polynomial $L(T)$ can be constructed as follows. Let M_0 be a totally real field with $[M_0 : \mathbb{Q}] = 10$ such that 5 splits completely in M_0. Let $v_1, \ldots, v_{10}$ be the places of M_0 above 5. We take a CM field M/M_0 such that $[M : M_0] = 2$, v_1 splits completely in M, v_2 is ramified in M, v_i is unramified in M for $3 \leq i \leq 10$. Then $\mathrm{disc}(M)$ is not a square since $\nu_5(\mathrm{disc}(M)) = 1$. Let w_1, w_2 be the finite places of M above v_1. Take an element $\alpha \in M^*$ with $w_1(\alpha) > 0$ and $w(\alpha) = 0$ for any finite places $w \neq w_1$ of M. We put $\beta := \alpha/i(\alpha)$, where i is the nontrivial element of $\mathrm{Gal}(M/M_0)$. Then, by the same argument as in the proof of Lemma 7.2, we have $\mathbb{Q}(\beta) = M$, and the minimal polynomial of β over $\mathbb{Q}$ satisfies the above conditions.

Recall that the Picard number $\rho(X)$ of a $K3$ surface X over $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p$ is a positive even integer by the Tate conjecture [12], [14], [3], [10]; see [7, Chapter 17, Corollary 2.9].

Theorem 7.4. *Let p be a prime number with $p \geq 5$. Let $\rho \in (2\mathbb{Z})_{>0}$ be a positive even integer and $h' \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ a positive integer with*

$$\rho \leq 22 - 2h'.$$

Then there exists a $K3$ surface X over $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p$ such that $\rho(X) = \rho$ and $h(X) = h'$.

Proof. We put $m := 11 - \rho/2$. When $\rho \geq 4$, by Lemma 7.1, there exists a polynomial

$$L(T) = \prod_{i=1}^{2m}(1 - \gamma_i T) \in 1 + T\mathbb{Q}[T],$$

satisfying Condition 1.1 for a power q of p and $h = h'$. When $\rho = 2$, by Lemma 7.2, we may assume $\mathrm{disc}(\mathbb{Q}(\gamma_1))$ is a square or e is even.

By Theorem 1.4, there exist a positive integer $n \geq 1$ and a $K3$ surface X_0 over $\mathbb{F}_{q^n}$ such that

$$L_{\mathrm{trc}}(X_0/\mathbb{F}_{q^n}, T) = \prod_{i=1}^{2m}(1 - \gamma_i^n T).$$

The $K3$ surface $X := X_0 \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_{q^n}} \overline{\mathbb{F}}_p$ satisfies $\rho(X) = \rho$ and $h(X) = h'$ as required; see Remark 1.5. □

Acknowledgements. The author is deeply grateful to my advisor, Tetsushi Ito, for his kindness, support, and advise. He gave me a lot of invaluable suggestions. The author also would like to thank Yuya Matsumoto and Seidai Yasuda for helpful suggestions and comments.

References

[1] Artin, M., *Supersingular $K3$ surfaces*, Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4) **7** (1974), 543-567.
[2] Bayer-Fluckiger, E., *Embeddings of maximal tori in orthogonal groups*, Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble) **64** (2014), no. 1, 113-125.
[3] Charles, F., *The Tate conjecture for K3 surfaces over finite fields*, Invent. Math. **194** (2013), no. 1, 119-145.
[4] Chen, M., *Complex Multiplication, Rationality and Mirror Symmetry for Abelian Varieties and $K3$ Surfaces*, Ph.D Thesis, Universität Bonn, 2007.
`http://hss.ulb.uni-bonn.de/2007/1029/1029.htm`
[5] Deligne, P., *Relèvement des surfaces K3 en caractéristique nulle*, Algebraic surfaces (Orsay, 1976-78), pp. 58-79, Lecture Notes in Math., **868**, Springer, Berlin-New York, 1981.
[6] Drinfeld, V., *On a conjecture of Deligne*, Mosc. Math. J. 12 (2012), no. 3, 515-542, 668.
[7] Huybrechts, D., *Lectures on $K3$ Surfaces*, Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics **158** (2016).
[8] Kawamata, Y., *Semistable minimal models of threefolds in positive or mixed characteristic*, J. Algebraic Geom. **3** (1994), no. 3, 463-491.
[9] Kawamata, Y., *Index 1 covers of log terminal surface singularities*, J. Algebraic Geom. **8** (1999), no. 3, 519-527.
[10] Kim, W., Madapusi Pera, K., *2-adic integral canonical models*, Forum Math. Sigma **4** (2016), e28, 34 pp.
[11] Liedtke, C., Matsumoto, Y., *Good Reduction of K3 Surfaces*, preprint, 2015. arXiv 1411.4797.
[12] Madapusi Pera, K., *The Tate conjecture for K3 surfaces in odd characteristic*, Invent. Math. **201** (2015), no. 2, 625-668.
[13] Matsumoto, Y., *Good reduction criterion for K3 surfaces*, Math. Z. **279** (2015), no. 1-2, 241-266.
[14] Maulik, D., *Supersingular K3 surfaces for large primes*, With an appendix by Andrew Snowden, Duke Math. J. **163** (2014), no. 13, 2357-2425.
[15] Nikulin, V., *Integer symmetric bilinear forms and some of their geometric applications*, Math USSR-Izv. **14** (1979), no. 1, 103-167 (1980).
[16] Nygaard, N., Ogus, A., *Tate's conjecture for $K3$ surfaces of finite height*, Ann. of Math. (2) **122** (1985), no. 3, 461-507.
[17] Pjateckiĭ-Šapiro, I. I., Šafarevič, I. R., *The arithmetic of surfaces of type $K3$*, Proceedings of the International Conference on Number Theory (Moscow, 1971). Trudy Mat. Inst. Steklov. **132** (1973), 44-54, 264.
[18] Pjateckiĭ-Šapiro, I. I., Šafarevič, I. R., *Torelli's theorem for algebraic surfaces of type $K3$*, Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR Ser. Mat. **35** (1974), 530-572.
[19] Rizov, J., *Kuga-Satake abelian varieties of $K3$ surfaces in mixed characteristic*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **648** (2010), 13-67.
[20] Saito, T., *Log smooth extension of a family of curves and semi-stable reduction*, J. Algebraic Geom. **13** (2004), no. 2, 287-321.
[21] Serre, J.-P., *A course in arithmetic*, Translated from the French. Graduate Texts in Mathematics, No. 7. Springer-Verlag, New York-Heidelberg, 1973.
[22] Serre, J.-P., *Local fields*, Translated from the French by Marvin Jay Greenberg. Graduate Texts in Mathematics, 67. Springer-Verlag, New York-Berlin, 1979.
[23] Taelman, L., *K3 surfaces over finite fields with given L-function*, Algebra Number Theory **10** (2016), no. 5, 1133-1146.
[24] Zarhin, Yu., *Hodge groups of $K3$ surfaces*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **341** (1983), 193-220.

Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, Kyoto University, Kyoto 606-8502, Japan

E-mail address: kito@math.kyoto-u.ac.jp